

NATURE JUST GOT BETTER

EVALUATION SHEET

Project Details

Client Project Name Evaluated by Site Conditions Other Rate & Method TerraCottem Product Auckland Council Weiti Bay Trial 2, Okura Bush, Auckland Mike Ramsay - Greenscene BATB Ltd Compacted clay and exposed south facing site Native shrubs and flax 1.5g/L TerraCottem Universal

Information about the use of TerraCottem

Without TerraCottem



With TerraCottem



PAGE 1 OF 2



WATER





NATURE JUST GOT BETTER

1. What issues did you have to deal with prior to using the TerraCottem[®] soil conditioning technology in the above applications?

Very exposed situation in areas where the soil condition was mechanically altered, and severely compacted. The area was originally a pine forest that had been milled and remaining stumps and rubble had been removed and surface had been recontoured for a major housing development.

2. What did you want to achieve by using the TerraCottem® product(s)?

The area was a difficult area to establish plants. It is exposed and the soil is poor. The plants would not receive any water and no fertilisation so it was expected that there would be a lot of plant loss. We wanted TerraCottem to increase survival and reduce the need to maintain weeds over the medium to long term period.

3. What plant benefits did you observe?

Plants established very quickly even with no hand watering. The area that had TerraCottem incorporated have exceeded development and establishment over plants that were planted a year earlier without TerraCottem. Many of the plants in the area where TerraCottem has not been used are struggling and many have died.

Did you have a control plot (without TerraCottem[®]) in order to make a comparison? ✓ Yes □ No

5. If not, can you make a comparison with a similar application (but without TerraCottem[®]) from a previous season where you didn't use TerraCottem[®]? If so, what are the differences?



